Proceedings of the Seventh Meeting on CPT and Lorentz Symmetry (CPT'16), Indiana University, Bloomington, June 20-24, 2016

arXiv:1608.03489v1 [gr-qc] 10 Aug 2016

Proposed Test of Lorentz Invariance using the Gravitational-Wave Interferometers

A.C. Melissinos

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester
Rochester, NY 14627, USA

Currently operating gravitational-wave interferometers are Michelson interferometers, with effective arm length $L \sim 4 \times 10^5$ m. While the interferometer remains in lock, data at the fsr sideband frequency encode information on slow phase changes in the $f \sim 10^{-5}$ Hz range, with a fringe sensitivity $\delta\phi/2\pi \sim 10^{-10}$. Preliminary LIGO data presented in 2009 show no Lorentz violating signal at the second harmonic of the Earth's sidereal rotation frequency. This sets a limit on a possible change in refractive index, $\delta n/n < 2 \times 10^{-22}$, an improvement of more than three orders of magnitude over existing limits.

Present-day gravitational-wave detectors are Michelson interferometers with the arms configured as Fabry-Pérot cavities. They are sensitive to gravitational waves with strains $h \sim 10^{-23}$ at frequencies $f \sim 100$ Hz. They are also sensitive to changes in the effective refractive index along the arms, even at frequencies in the μHz range.

The interferometers are kept on a dark fringe and the observable signal, which is the demodulated amplitude of the light reaching the dark port photodetector, is directly proportional to the difference in the phase shift of the light returning from the two arms,

$$\Delta\phi = \delta\phi_1 - \delta\phi_2. \tag{1}$$

The fringe shift $\delta\phi_j$ in each arm,

$$\frac{\delta\phi_j}{2\pi} = \left(\frac{\delta L_j}{L} + \frac{\delta f_c}{f_c} + \frac{\delta\bar{n}}{\bar{n}}\right)\frac{2L}{\lambda}, \tag{2}$$

depends on the arm length L_j, the frequency of the (carrier) light f_c, and the effective refractive index, $\bar{n}$; λ is the wavelength of the carrier.

When the interferometer is "locked" onto a dark fringe, $\Delta\phi = 0$, and this is achieved by adjusting both the (microscopic) arm length difference, $\delta L = L_1 - L_2$ (modulo $\lambda/2$) as well as the frequency of the carrier, δf_c;

clearly, $\delta\bar{n}$ is determined by external factors. Departures from $\Delta\phi = 0$ are recorded with high bandwidth (at 16.384 kHz) and this "error signal" is the output of the instrument. Similarly, the corrective actions of the servo mechanisms that return $\Delta\phi \to 0$ are recorded and available for analysis.

Integration of the error signal over a sufficiently long time interval T, compared to the servo response, yields

$$\int_{t-T/2}^{t+T/2} dt \frac{\Delta\phi}{2\pi} \to \frac{2L}{\lambda} \int_{t-T/2}^{t+T/2} dt \frac{\Delta\bar{n}}{\bar{n}} \tag{3}$$

because when the interferometer is locked, the first two terms in Eq. (2) have been returned to zero by the servo, while their fluctuations are stochastic and average to zero. This shows the interferometers are sensitive to time-varying signals from Lorentz violation in the effective refractive index $\bar{n}$.

The Earth's sidereal rotation frequency is $f_s = 1.16058 \times 10^{-5}$ Hz, while the annual rotation frequency $f_a = 3.16876 \times 10^{-8}$ Hz. Such frequencies are outside the band of interest for gravitational-wave searches, but can be accessed by down-sampling the signals in the DARM-CTRL channel, which is an integral over the error signal. However it is preferable to consider a sideband channel displaced by the "free spectral range" (fsr) frequency from the carrier, which, serendipitously, circulates in the interferometer.

Note that the arms are in resonance when the carrier frequency f_c takes the value $f_c = N f_{fsr}$, where N is a large integer, of order 10^{10}, and $f_{fsr} = c/2L \approx 37.52$ kHz for LIGO. Resonance in the arms also occurs at the sidebands $f_\pm = f_c \pm f_{fsr}$, which when demodulated experience lower noise than the demodulated carrier. Most importantly, given a *macroscopic* difference in arm lengths, $\Delta L = L_1 - L_2$, when the interferometer is in lock on the dark fringe, the sideband f_+ is displaced from the dark fringe by a bias phase shift $\phi_B/2\pi = \delta L/2L \approx 3 \times 10^{-6}$ per traversal of light. As a result, the power at the sideband frequency f_+, contains an *interference term* between the bias phase shift and any phase shift resulting from changes in $\Delta\bar{n}$. This induces a modulation of the power at the fsr frequency seen in Fig. 2 of Ref. 1.

After demodulation at the fsr frequency the power spectral density (PSD) is evaluated for 64-second-long data stretches, and is integrated in the range (37.52 $\pm$0.2) kHz. The resulting time series for the S5 LIGO run, (March 31, 2006 to July 31, 2007) is shown in Fig. 2 of Ref. 1 where the twice yearly modulation is clearly evident; similar modulation, but of lower depth, appears on a daily time scale and is indicated in the inset to the figure.

When the time series is spectrally analyzed, discrete lines appear at the known frequencies of the daily and twice daily tidal lines.[3] The spectra are shown in Fig. 3 of Ref. 1 where the bandwidth resolution is $BW = 2.4\times10^{-8}$ Hz. In the daily region, four lines are present and the observed frequencies match those of the known O_1, P_1, and K_1 lines. The dominant line at $f = 1.157\times10^{-5}$ Hz is at the daily *solar* frequency where no tidal component is present. Therefore it must be attributed to human activity on a daily cycle. In the twice daily region, four lines, N_2, M_2, S_2 and K_2 are expected, and are observed at their exact frequencies and with the observed power proportional to the known tidal amplitude: this should be so since the tidal signal contributes an interference term to the spectral power.

The spectral lines cannot arise from physical motion of the mirrors because the interferometer is *maintained in lock*. However the tidal acceleration (force per unit mass) has a horizontal component, typically $g_\parallel \sim 10^{-7}g \sim 10^{-6}$ m/s^2, that varies in time at the tidal frequencies. Such a gravity gradient imposes a frequency shift on the light propagating along the arm (and an inverse shift on the return trip). The resulting phase shift, for a single traversal, is

$$\delta\phi^{(j)}/2\pi = (g_\parallel^{(j)}/\lambda)(L^2/c^2), \tag{4}$$

where (j) refers to the orientation of the arm. We calculate $\delta\phi = \delta\phi^{(1)} - \delta\phi^{(2)}$ for the M2 tidal line at the Hanford site, to find

$$\delta\phi^{(s)}/2\pi|_{M2} = 2\times10^{-10}. \tag{5}$$

Using a simulation of the interferometer[4] the observed modulation of the data in the twice daily region reproduces qualitatively the above result. Thus we use Eq. (5) to calibrate all spectral lines.

Violation of Lorentz invariance revealed by the Earth's rotation would appear at the harmonics of the sidereal frequency, primarily at the second harmonic. Furthermore, the data at the second harmonic are free of human activity and all the tidal lines have exactly their predicted values, in both frequency and amplitude, lending confidence in the data. The $n = 2$ sidereal frequency coincides with the $K2$ line which has power $P(K_2) = 398$ counts, while the tidal contribution is $P_{K_2(\mathrm{tidal})} = 438$ counts. The possible LV power is $P_{LV} = (-40 \pm 28)$ counts. Taking 2σ as the upper limit, and using the $M2$ calibration we find for the phase shift and fractional change in $\bar{n}$ the result

$$\left.\frac{\delta\phi^{(s)}}{2\pi}\right|_{2\omega} < 2\times10^{-12}, \qquad \left.\frac{\delta\bar{n}}{\bar{n}}\right|_{2\omega} = \frac{\lambda}{2L}\left.\frac{\delta\phi^{(s)}}{2\pi}\right|_{2\omega} < 2.5\times10^{-22}. \tag{6}$$

This result for $\delta\bar{n}/\bar{n}$ is an improvement by more than three orders of magnitude over the most recently published value.[2]

The data in Fig. 2 of Ref. 1 show clear evidence for twice yearly modulation as quantitatively supported by spectral analysis which yields for the observed modulation frequency $f_{\rm observed} = (6.54 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-8}$ Hz, as compared to $f_{2\Omega} = 6.34 \times 10^{-8}$ Hz, in agreement within the error. The amplitude of the line implies a phase shift $\delta\phi/2\pi|_{2\Omega} = (3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-9}$ or equivalently

$$\delta\bar{n}/\bar{n}|_{2\Omega} = (4 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-19}. \tag{7}$$

In the Standard-Model Extension[5] this leads to[6] $|\kappa_{tr}| = (3.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-9}$, whereas the absence of a signal at f_Ω sets a limit $|\kappa_{tr}| < 0.9 \times 10^{-9}$. The large value of the twice annual modulation and the absence of a signal at f_Ω make it probable that this "anomalous" modulation is due to an instrumental effect. At this time we can not find any obvious experimental causes for this anomaly, and the resolution of this issue will have to await new experimental results. For further details on this work see Ref. 6.

Acknowledgments

The preliminary data discussed here were obtained during the LIGO S5 run. I am indebted to the staff and operators of the LIGO Hanford observatory and to the members of the LSC. In particular I thank W.E. Butler, C. Forrest, T. Fricke, S. Giampanis, F.J. Raab, and D. Sigg who were closely involved with the design, implementation, data taking and analysis of the fsr channel. I thank A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes for providing the SME coefficients for the LIGO configuration.

References

1. A.C. Melissinos, for the LIGO Scientific Collaboration, in T. Damour, R.T. Jantzen, and R. Ruffini, eds., *Proceedings of the 12th Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2011, p. 1718 [arXiv:1001.0558].
2. M. Nagel *et al.*, Nature Commun. **6**, 8174 (2015).
3. P. Melchior, *The Tides of the Planet Earth,* Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1978.
4. A. Freise, D. Brown, and C. Bond, arXiv:1306.2973.
5. D. Colladay and V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6760 (1997); Phys. Rev. D **58**, 116002 (1998); V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 105009 (2004).
6. V.A. Kostelecký, A.C. Melissinos, and M. Mewes, arXiv:1608.02592.